

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,023	03/07/2001	Barbro Hemmendorff	10806-155	3513	
24256	7590 04/10/2002				
DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP 1900 CHEMED CENTER 255 EAST FIFTH STREET			EXAMINER		
			CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA		
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
		DATE MAIL ED: 04/10/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/743,023		HEMMENDORFF ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Suryaprabha Ch		1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> .							
2a) □	•	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) [-35-2] is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/743,023

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' response to the office action and amendment (Paper No. 9) filed on January 18, 2002 has been entered.

2. The Information Disclosure Statement (Paper No. 6) filed on May 2, 2001 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's response to the office action (Paper No.15) is fully considered and deemed persuasive in part.
- 4. With respect to the rejection made in the previous office action under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), applicants amendment and arguments have been considered and the rejection is withdrawn herein.
- 5. With respect to the rejection made in the previous office action under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), applicants amendment and arguments have been considered and the rejection is withdrawn herein.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Method claims require a last step or phrase in the last step that states the accomplishment of the goals for the method, which were stated in the method's preamble. Claim 20 lacks such a

Application/Control Number: 09/743,023

Art Unit: 1637

last step (how a reduction in the formation of trisulfides in the production of recombinant peptides is achieved) and is confusing because the additional method step is not sufficiently set forth. While minute details are not required in method claims, at least the basic steps must be recited in a positive, active fashion. See Ex parte Erlich, 3 USPQ2d1011, p.1011 (Bd. Pat. App. Int. 1986). It is suggested that an amended claim more clearly describing the intended steps be submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Builder et al. (USPN. 5,663,304).

Builder et al. teach a method for production of recombinant peptides comprising fermenting cells (host cells) to produce recombinant peptides in the presence of metal salt (alkali metal salt) prior to peptide isolation (see column 26, lines 34-67, column 27, 1-67, column 28,

Application/Control Number: 09/743,023

Art Unit: 1637

lines 15-33, column 6, lines 42-67, and column 7, lines 1-9). Builder also teach that (i) the use of metals facilitate disulfide oxidation of polypeptides and yield correct refolding of a misfolded polypeptide contained in host cells (see column 6, lines 42-60); metal salts include sodium chloride, potassium chloride, sodium phosphate, potassium phosphate (see column 28, lines 15-33, column 11, lines 42-54); alkali metal salt buffer (pH 10.5) was added after fermentation and pH was adjusted to 3.5 with phosphoric acid (see column 28, lines 28-33 and column 16, lines 47-55); recombinant polypeptides of interest include human growth factor (see column 8, lines 24-67, and column 9, lines 1-10). Thus, the disclosure of Builder et al. meets the limitations in the instant claims.

No claims are allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryabrabha Chundum Au 1637 April 8, 2002.

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER